**Research Evaluation at Faculty of Natural Sciences, Aarhus University**

**Department for …**

**Evaluation report**

Date

Panel Members: …. (chair), …

Basis for Evaluation: Protocol including terms of reference for the panel (attachment 1), department’s written documentation (attachment 2), on-site visit (program as attachment 2).

**Review and advice according to the panel’s terms of references**

1. To review, and provide advice on, the department’s research vision towards 2030 (chapter I.A in the department’s self-evaluation report).

Review:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

Advice:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

1. To review the department’s translation of its vision, into ideas for development (chapter I.B in the department’s self-evaluation report), and provide advice, e.g. on prioritization or rephrasing of the ideas.

Review:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

Advice:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

1. To critically assess the viability analyses in chapter III of the review the department’s self-evaluation report, pointing out unexplored opportunities of imbalances in the analyses.
2. Scientific impact

Review:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

Advice:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

1. Societal impact

Review:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

Advice:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

1. Prerequisites for impact – the department’s research staff and culture

Review:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

Advice:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

1. OTHERS – chosen by department, delete if not relevant

Review:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

Advice:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

1. Other important observations and recommendations from the panel

Observations:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

Recommendations:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*